

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,413	03/26/2004	Hiroyuki Tomita	114757.01	6806
25944	7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MAHONEY, CHRISTOPHER E	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2851	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,413	TOMITA, HIROYUK	300
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher E. Mahoney	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this componed (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	August 2005.		
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo		·	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	= ' '	-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	ento hovo hoon received		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		action No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			togo
application from the International Bure	<u> </u>	erved in this ivational St	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eived	
occ the attached detailed Office action for a fi			
A44-a4			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr	nan/ (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (P10-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	,0,	nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/809,413

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,778,766. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims anticipate the claims of the current application.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,413

Art Unit: 2851

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher E. Mahoney whose telephone number is (571) 272-2122. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER MAHONEY
PRIMARY FXAMINER